

Short technical report

Understanding the maps of  
risk assessment of deforestation and carbon dioxide  
emissions using scenarios for 2020

National Institute for Space Research - INPE

November 12, 2010.  
São José dos Campos, Brazil

## **Introduction**

This technical report aims to describe the methodology followed to calculate the map of risk assessment of deforestation and carbon emission using a scenario for 2020 to the Brazilian Amazon. In the following sections it is provided detailed information regarding the database adopted as source to the risk assessment maps as well as the premises considered for scenario projections.

It is important to mention that these products are the results of joint effort of National Institute for Space Research in Brazil together with Planetary Skin Institute, and they intend to give the final user a broad view of the deforestation risks and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions associated in the forthcoming years. The database adopted was assembled using different data sources from several governmental Brazilian institutions, being the methods and land use/cover /CO<sub>2</sub> emissions models are developed at the National Institute for Space Research. In addition to this report, further information regarding the methods, database and models can be found in specialized literature cited in the final references of this report.

## **Risk assessment map of deforestation**

The risk assessment of deforestation is based initially on historical remote sensing data that compose land use maps gathered in a yearly basis from 1988 to 2009. These data are freely available at INPE's website (INPE, 2009). Added to historical land use maps, the database used to calculate risk maps also adopted the following variables (see detailed description in Table 1):

- Accessibility measures to main infrastructure (roads, urban areas, ports)
- Accessibility to main national markets (São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro, Northeastern region)
- Accessibility to areas of economic attractiveness (wood extraction poles and mining areas)
- Environmental aspects
  - Soil fertility
  - Climatic variables: precipitation, humidity, temperature, soil wetness
  - Slope
- Public policies (forest reserves and indigenous land)
- Agrarian structure (agrarian projects with slash and burn or sustainable land use practices)

The database containing all these variables was used as input to a land cover change model that runs inside the framework developed by INPE named LuccME. The differential of LuccME consists on its integrative computational tool where different LUCC models can be implemented together in a unique environment, taking into account different spatial and temporal scales. In this study a specific model named LuccME/BRAmazonia was implemented at the Brazilian Amazon scale. It uses potential and allocation sub-models based on the CLUE model (Veldkamp and Fresco, 1996; Verburg et al., 2002), and is adapted to the Brazilian Amazon case by Aguiar (2006). The LuccME/BRAmazonia model uses a spatial database based on the variables mentioned above, organized in a regular grid of 25x25 km<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, the

calculation of the risk assessment map of deforestation considers the same spatial scale and spatial resolution of LuccMe/BRAmazonia.

An empirical model of deforestation based on linear regression was implemented using LuccME, where 2006 is considered the baseline year, i.e. the regression models are run for 2006 and the amount of forest varies every year according to the demand of change in the adopted scenario. LuccME performs several iterations for each year of the simulation in order to allocate the informed demand values of forest losses. Once the demand is allocated a projected land use map is recorded and then used to start the next time step of the simulation. The allocation procedure is based on the results of the regression model, which procedure is described next.

First the variables built from the spatial database were used in an exploratory analysis in order to exclude independent variables highly correlated to each other. coefficients higher than 0.6 can not be used together in the same regression model. The initial regression model was tested using the variables presenting the highest correlation to deforested areas and considering previous results of studies performed at INPE. Several alternative models were tested by including or excluding some variables depending on their individual significance in model estimates. The final model was the one that maximized the R square at a minimum of 0.7 and at the same time presented high significance for the model as whole as for the individual variables (5 % significance level). Stepwise variables selection method was also used to improve the models together with graphical analysis of normal p-plots and residual analysis.

Beyond allocation of changes and demand scenarios, the deforestation model requires expert knowledge also to set specific model parameters concerning spatial variability and reversibility of changes. At last, the simulation of land cover changes adopted 2006 also as the baseline year and 2020 as the end year to allocate the plausible deforestation according to a scenario of carbon emission reduction target proposed by the Brazilian government. The final results of the simulations provide the percentage of deforested area in each cell of the database (25 by 25 km as mentioned before).

Finally, to obtain the map representing the risk assessment of deforestation we calculate the difference of deforested areas between 2020 and 2006. This difference results in a transitional potential between 0 and 1 for each cell in the database to be deforested within this period. Therefore the map of risk assessment of deforestation can be interpreted as the risk of deforestation in the forthcoming years based on the scenario of carbon emission reduction target. The codification of classes in the final map of risk assessment of deforestation follows 5 classes of percentage deforested inside each cell of the database according to:

|   |                                   |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Transitional potential equal 0          | = No risk of deforestation        |
| Transitional potential > 0 and < 0.04   | = Low risk of deforestation       |
| Transitional potential > 0.04 and < 0.4 | = Moderate risk of deforestation  |
| Transitional potential > 0.4 and < 0.5  | = High risk of deforestation      |
| Transitional potential > 0.5            | = Very high risk of deforestation |

### **Scenario of carbon emission reduction target**

A scenario of deforestation that follows the Brazilian government targets for deforestation in the next ten years. In 2008 the Brazilian Government made a formal announcement within the United Nations climate treaty framework of reducing Amazon deforestation by 80% compared to the historical rate from 1996-2005 of  $19,500 \text{ km}^2 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  by 2020 (Government of Brazil 2008). According to the target, deforestation rate would drop to  $3900 \text{ km}^2$  in 2020. This target is in full-association with the voluntary commitment assumed later on (Dec.2009) by Brazil in the United Nations Climate Change Conference in Copenhagen to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions from 36.1% to 38.9% by 2020.

The scenario adopted takes into account Brazilian governmental policies to mitigate greenhouse gases emissions until 2020. To run the scenarios, we used the PRODES increments from 2006 to 2009, and from 2010 to 2020, we assume deforestations rates has an exponential decrease rate until  $3900 \text{ km}^2$ .

**Table 1 - Potential determinants of land use change represented by spatial variables obtained from different data sources and using distinct numerical methods to fill in the cell space of 25 x 25 km**

| Variable name                  | Description  | Numerical Function used to fill cellular space   | Type           | Source                               | Scale/level        | Statistical variables |
|--------------------------------|--|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Deforestation</b>           | % cumulative deforested area   | Percentage of deforested area interpreted using remote sensing images (Landsat/TM) produced by PRODES until 2006   | interval [0,1] | PRODES, 2006<br>(www.inpe.br/prodes) | 1:500000           | dependent             |
| <b>Forest</b>                  | % remaining area of forest inside cells                                    | Percentage of deforested area interpreted using remote sensing images (Landsat/TM) produced by PRODES until 2006   |                |                                      |                    |                       |
| <i>Accessibility</i>           |  |  |                |                                      |                    |                       |
| <i>ED_highway</i>              | Distance to paved and unpaved highways                                     | Euclidian distance to reference points   | real (>=0)     | IBGE, 2006                           | at least 1:1000000 | independent           |
| <i>Conn_SP_RJ</i>              | Connection to market in São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro                       | Connectivity of each cell to national markets (São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro, Northeast, state capitals), to regional markets (urban areas inside the Brazilian Amazon) and to ports. Connectivity measures are inversely proportional to the minimum path distance from each cell using the roads network. Paved and non-paved roads are distinguished by considering duplicated distances in the latter. | real (>=0)     | IBGE, 2006<br>Aguiar et al., 2003    | 1:1000000          |                       |
| <i>Conn_SP_NE</i>              | Connection to market in São Paulo and the Brazilian Northeast              |  |                |                                      |                    |                       |
| <i>Conn_ports</i>              | Connection to ports  |  |                |                                      |                    |                       |
| <i>Conn_capitals</i>           | Connection to state capitals in Brazil                                     |  |                |                                      |                    |                       |
| <i>Economic attractiveness</i> |  |  |                |                                      |                    |                       |
| <i>ED_mineral</i>              | Distance to mineral reserves (under exploitation and/or economic relevant) | Euclidian distance to reference points   | real (>=0)     | CPRM, 2006                           | 1:1000000          |                       |
| <i>ED_woodpole</i>             | Distance to wood extraction poles (sawmills, wood forest management areas) |  |                | MMA, 2006                            |                    |                       |

| Colonization history (Agrarian structure) |  |  |                    |  |                    |
|---|--|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| Sust_COL                                  | Official agrarian projects under land concession regimes allowing only sustainable exploration |  | nominal            |  | 1:250000           |
| NSust_COL                                 | Official agrarian projects under land concession regimes allowing slash and burn practices     |  |                    |  |                    |
| Environmental                             |  |  |                    |  |                    |
| Slope                                     |  |  |                    |  |                    |
| slope_steep                               | Steep (>20%)   | Percentage of elements (pixels) of every slope category inside each cell   | nominal            | SRTM (NASA, 2000) refined to 30 m by TOPODATA project (VALERIANO, 2007)            | 1:250000           |
| slope_mod                                 | Moderate (between 11 and 20%)  |  |                    |  |                    |
| slope_smooth                              | Smooth (between 5 and 10%)   |  |                    |  |                    |
| slope_flat                                | Flat (up to 5%)  |  |                    |  |                    |
| Soil fertility                            |  |  |                    |  |                    |
| fert_high                                 | High   | Percentage of area of polygons for the attribute of reference inside each cell   | nominal            | IBGE 1996/EMBRAPA 2000   | 1:1000000          |
| fert_low                                  | Low  |  |                    |  |                    |
| fert_verylow                              | Very low   |  |                    |  |                    |
| Climatic factors                          |  |  |                    |  |                    |
| precip_dry3                               | Average precipitation given by the minimum value per pixel within 3 consecutive months         | Average of minimum values occurring within three consecutive months independently to each pixel of the data set according to the climatic variable considered. | real (>=0)         | INPE/CPTEC   | at least 1:5000000 |
| hum_dry3                                  | Average humidity given by the minimum value per pixel within 3 consecutive months              |  | interval [ 0, 100] |  |                    |
| temp_dry3                                 | Average temperature given by the minimum value per pixel within 3 consecutive months           |  | real               |  |                    |
| PVM-CPTEC climatic-vegetation model       |  |  |                    |  |                    |
| PVM_tmin                                  | the mean temperature of the coldest month  | Derived from PVM-CPTEC model calculated in a land use model perspective according to the Master Dissertation of ThomasFriedman (2010)                          | real (>=0)         | Derived from the water balance model of CPTEC-PVM described in Oyama & Nobre, 2004 |                    |
| PVM_gdd0                                  | the number of growing degree days using a 0°C threshold  |  |                    |  |                    |
| PVM_weti                                  | wetness index indicating the potential of the areas of higher humidity                         |  | integer            |  |                    |
| Public Policies                           |  |  |                    |  |                    |
| TI_2006                                   | Indigenous land existing in 2006   | Percentage of area of polygons for the attribute of reference inside each cell   | nominal            | MMA, 2006  | at least 1:1000000 |
| UC_2006                                   | Protected areas under different levels of law enforcement existing in 2006                     |  |                    |  |                    |

## **Risk assessment map of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions until 2020**

The risk assessment map of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions was obtained by crossing the simulation results of LuccME from 2006 to 2020 to a map of aboveground biomass contained in the remaining forest (Saatchi et al. 2007). The map represents the risk of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at 25x25 km until 2020 under the scenario of carbon emission reduction target, as described before.

Once areas are at a high risk of deforestation and contain the highest levels of vegetation carbon are the ones depicted as having a very high risk of carbon emission in the assessed map. Conversely, areas under a low risk of deforestation have also a low risk of CO<sub>2</sub> emission. Therefore, the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions resulting from deforestation in the Amazon are not solely dependent on the extent of forest that is cleared, but also on the amount of carbon stored in the forest.

The employed map of Saatchi et al. (2007) uses a combination of inventory data (obtained *in loco*) and satellite imagery to estimate the biomass of live trunks, stems, leaves and shoots over the entire Amazon. In order to convert live biomass into CO<sub>2</sub> we assume that each unity of biomass contains 47% of carbon.

Units used in the map are Tg CO<sub>2</sub> [Teragram (=10<sup>12</sup> gram) of carbon dioxide]. It is suggested that the map be presented using the following division of classes of data:

Class “Low risk of CO<sub>2</sub> emission” = from 0.0 to 0.2

Class “Medium risk of CO<sub>2</sub> emission” = from 0.2 to 3.0

Class “High risk of CO<sub>2</sub> emission” = from 3.0 to 6.0

Class “Very high risk of CO<sub>2</sub> emission” = from 6.0 to 13.0

## **Estimated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from deforestation in 2002-2020**

The data contained in the attached excel sheet are the outputs of INPE’s greenhouse-gas (GHG) emission model. The model is driven by annual maps of deforestation, either real or projected, and computes the associated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of primary forest and also the emission or absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> resulting from secondary forest clearing / re-growth.

CO<sub>2</sub> emission of primary forest is estimated via two different methods: process-based and non-process-based. In the first there is the consideration of some realistic processes that underlie the dynamics of the Amazon forest carbon cycle and the influence of humans on it (via land-use practices), such as the speed and frequency that trees are cut and burned; the percentage of timber extracted; equations of organic matter decay in soils; root decomposition; fire intensity, and type of organic matter. For example, in this more realistic emission pathway method a large portion of the vegetation CO<sub>2</sub> is readily emitted to the atmosphere due to biomass burning, but another part of the CO<sub>2</sub> stays for a longer time in the soil and is decomposed via biological processes at different rates depending on the type of biomass (roots, disposal of logging activities, etc). In the latter method all the CO<sub>2</sub> contained in the cleared forest is readily emitted to the atmosphere within one year following deforestation. In this method there is no consideration of processes that can hold

carbon for a longer time in the environment, such as land-use practices or microbial decomposition rates. Although less realistic, this emission estimate method is useful to depict the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> directly committed with each deforestation burden.

Most of the knowledge underlying INPE's emission model derives from previous works by Houghton et al. (2000; 2003, 2005) e Loaire et al. (2009), where more information about the modeling methods can be found. Currently only the emission of CO<sub>2</sub> is estimated by the model, but model development is underway to consider other important GHG such as methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O).

The secondary vegetation re-growth and its dynamic are also considered. The parameters of secondary forest growth and deforestation are based in the study by Almeida (2009) and is driven namely by the agrarian structure and the intensity of land use, which varies from region to region. For example, secondary forest re-growth is more intense in recent deforestation frontiers than in older ones in the Amazon, especially in areas colonization by small farmers due to land tenure issues.

The data comprised in the attached excel sheet shows the estimated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the period spanning the years 2002 to 2020, divided into two sets:

- Observed: 2002-2009; using observed (PRODES) deforestation maps
- Modeled: 2007-2020; using deforestation maps generated by the LuccME/BRAmazonia model under the a scenario that follows the governmental targets for deforestation until 2020 (see above).

Explanation to the variables shown in each column is given below (units are Tg CO<sub>2</sub> [Teragram (=10<sup>12</sup> gram) of CO<sub>2</sub>], except deforestation that is in km<sup>2</sup>):

1. *Year*: 2002-2009 (for CO<sub>2</sub> estimates using observed deforestation maps); 2007-2020 (for CO<sub>2</sub> estimates using projected deforestation maps).
2. *Deforestation*: observed (real) Brazilian Amazon deforestation data from PRODES satellite survey database; and modeled deforestation data from the LuccMe model
3. *Emission from primary vegetation (no process, 100% in the 1<sup>st</sup> year)*: the CO<sub>2</sub> contained in the vegetation that has been cleared (deforested) is all emitted to the atmosphere in the first year following deforestation.
4. *Emission from primary vegetation (via process, gradual)*: the CO<sub>2</sub> contained in the vegetation that has been cleared (deforested) is gradually emitted to the atmosphere according to different natural and human-driven processes of carbon decomposition.
5. *Assimilation from secondary vegetation growth*: represents the assimilation or absorption (opposite of emission) of CO<sub>2</sub> by forest regrowth (secondary vegetation). Values are negative due to convention.
6. *Emission from secondary vegetation*: CO<sub>2</sub> that is emitted due to the clearing (deforestation) of secondary vegetation. It uses the 'process' (gradual) emission pathway method, just like for the variable "*Emission from primary vegetation (via process, gradual)*" explained above.



7. *Balance*: = variable 4 + variable 5 + variable 6. It shows the balance between emissions and assimilation of CO<sub>2</sub>. Positive values represent a net emission of CO<sub>2</sub> from land vegetation to the atmosphere. Negative values represent a net absorption of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> to the land vegetation.

## References

- Almeida, A. et al. (2009), Non-Frontier deforestation in the Eastern Amazonia. *Earth Interactions*, 14. doi: 10.1175/2009EI290.1
- Government of Brazil (2008), *National Climate Change Plan*. Government of Brazil, Brasília. Available at: [www.mma.gov.br/estruturas/smcq\\_climaticas/\\_arquivos/plano\\_nacional\\_mudanca\\_clima.pdf](http://www.mma.gov.br/estruturas/smcq_climaticas/_arquivos/plano_nacional_mudanca_clima.pdf) (accessed in 11/Jan/2010).
- Houghton, R. A., et al. (2000), Annual fluxes of carbon from deforestation and regrowth in the Brazilian Amazon, *Nature*, 403(6767), 301 – 304, doi:10.1038/35002062.
- Houghton, R. A. (2003), Why are estimates of the terrestrial carbon balance so different? *Global Change Biol.*, 9(4), 500–509, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00620.x.
- Houghton, R. A. (2005b), Tropical deforestation as a source of greenhouse gas emission, in *Tropical Deforestation and Climate Change*, edited by P. Moutinho and S. Schwartzman, pp. 13– 22, Amazon Inst. for Environ. Res., Brasília.
- Loaire et al. (2009), Boosted carbon emissions from Amazon deforestation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36 (L14810). doi:10.1029/2009GL037526.
- Saatchi, S. S. et al. (2007), Distribution of aboveground live biomass in the Amazon basin. *Global Change Biology* 13: 816-837.
- INPE, 2009. PRODES - Monitoring the Brazilian Amazonian forest by satellite images <<http://www.obt.inpe.br/prodes/index.html>>. Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, São José dos Campos, São Paulo.
- Veldkamp, A., Fresco, L.O., 1996. CLUE-CR: An integrated multi-scale model to simulate land use change scenarios in Costa Rica. *Ecological Modelling* 91, 231-248.
- Verburg, P.H., Soepboer, W., Veldkamp, A., Limpiada, R., Espaldon, V., Mastura, S.S.A., 2002. Modeling the spatial dynamics of regional land use: The CLUE-S model. *Environmental Management* 30, 391-405.